

August 6, 2014 ©Homer Kizer

[Printable, viewable format to see Greek or Hebrew characters](#)

## Common Greetings — *The War to End Western Civilization*

1.

Over the past three and a half millennia, a way of life has evolved to the north and west of the Nile Delta—a presumably civilized way of life that professes respect for all peoples, with some being more respected than others. This way of life is based on transactions; on doing business, not on every person providing for him or herself the tools, shelter, clothing, food the person consumes but upon the person being able to purchase those things the person needs to survive. This way of life has tended to congregate people in cities. But most importantly, this way of life does not have every person living under the person's own vine and tree; thus, this culture is not of God, nor is the culture *godly*. It, like irrigated agriculture, will not survive over the long haul, but must constantly *evolve*, reinventing itself as it advances technologically.

The essence of heaven is timelessness; for nothing in heaven possesses mass. Those things that possess mass are of the physical creation that came about as a glorious death chamber in which angels could perish, not as a planned development that would bring humankind into existence as potential sons of God ... humanity's existence came about because of iniquity being found in an anointed cherub, perfect in his creation. Thus, time and its passage can be mathematically written as functions of gravity: time came into existence with the physical creation. Outside of the physical creation, there is no time. There is no passage of time. All things are as they always have been. Outside of the physical creation, change becomes a dance of *oneness* in which everything [every living entity] moves as one entity, erasing what existed before, leaving no memory of what was. The concepts of “past” and “future” belong inside of the ultra-low viscosity fluid that for a century has been dubbed *space-time*.

Those things that are of God can change, but in changing what is of God erases what previously existed. It is for this reason that the Lord through the prophet Ezekiel declared,

The soul who sins shall die. The son shall not suffer for the iniquity of the father, nor the father suffer for the iniquity of the son. The righteousness of the righteous shall be upon himself, and the wickedness of the wicked shall be upon himself. But if a wicked person turns away from all his sins that he has committed and keeps all my statutes and does what is just and right, he shall surely live; he shall not die. None of the transgressions that he has committed shall be remembered against him; for the righteousness that he has done he shall live. Have I any pleasure in the death of the wicked, declares the Lord [YHWH], and not rather that he should turn from his way and live? But when a righteous person turns away from his righteousness and does injustice and does the same abominations

that the wicked person does, shall he live? None of the righteous deeds that he has done shall be remembered; for the treachery of which he is guilty and the sin he has committed, for them he shall die. Yet you say, 'The way of the Lord is not just.' Hear now, O house of Israel: Is my way not just? Is it not your ways that are not just? When a righteous person turns away from his righteousness and does injustice, he shall die for it; for the injustice that he has done he shall die. Again, *when a wicked person turns away from the wickedness he has committed and does what is just and right, he shall save his life. Because he considered and turned away from all the transgressions that he had committed, he shall surely live; he shall not die.* (Ezek 18:20–28 emphasis added)

The righteous person who turns from the pursuit of righteousness changes and thereby erases all of the person's former righteousness. The same pertains to the wicked person who turns from doing evil. This person changes—if this person were not of God, would the person cease doing what is evil? Possibly. But even then, by turning from doing what is evil, the person rebels against the Adversary, who continues to reign over the single kingdom of this world. And by rebelling against the Adversary, the person does what apparently angels under the Adversary in heaven did not or could not do. The person chooses to obey God through demonstrating love for neighbor and brother.

Because of the unchanging nature of heaven, there is no “spiritual growth”; no spiritual maturation in heaven. Angels are as they were created, with the exception of the anointed guardian cherub who began to judge God, going behind God to “prove” whether those things that God said were so—and when it was shown that they were so, no rebellion was seen; none was detectable. Only when this anointed cherub could not prove what God said was true did this guardian cherub's rebellion become evident to all, with the revealing of the cherub's unbelief requiring that he be cast from heaven, thus opening a wound in the fabric of heaven that arguably can still be seen in an X-ray photograph of the galaxy, the wound forming the moment of the big bang, the coming into existence of the physical creation inside the Abyss.

As water and blood poured from the side of the dead earthly body of Christ Jesus, so too did primal energy pour through the rent in the fabric of heaven, which in analogy will have “heaven” as dead as Jesus' crucified body, the living inner self of Jesus remaining vibrant and on a mission:

For Christ also suffered once for sins, the righteous for the unrighteous, that He might bring us to God, being put to death in the flesh but made alive in the spirit, in which He went and proclaimed to the spirits in prison, because they formerly did not obey, when God's patience waited in the days of Noah, while the ark was being prepared, in which a few, that is, eight persons, were brought safely through water. Baptism, which corresponds to this, now saves you, not as a removal of dirt from the body but as an appeal to God for a good conscience, through the resurrection of Jesus Christ, who has gone into heaven and is at the right hand of God, with angels, authorities, and powers having been subjected to Him. (1 Pet 3:18–22)

What Peter writes has been either misunderstood or badly misconstrued within the Sabbatarian Churches of God: baptism isn't for the giving of the spirit, but for the death of the old self, the nature of the flesh that continues to be

subject to disobedience and the broadcast of the prince of the power of the air. Baptism equates to the Flood of Noah's day, with the Ark of the Covenant equating to the Ark that carried Noah from one world [age] into this present world. The non-physical Ark of the Covenant—the Promise made to Abraham—saves the living inner self of the person truly born of spirit. The fleshly body of the person will die, but with receipt of the breath of Christ [*pneuma Christou*] the once-dead inner self of the person born of spirit will live through not going to preach to imprisoned spirits upon the death of the fleshly body, but through sleeping under the heavenly altar in New Jerusalem until the fullness of their number is satisfied.

Once the man Jesus the Nazarene received the indwelling of the breath of God [*pneuma Theou*], a second breath of life, the inner self [spirit of Christ, *pneuma Christou*, in the soul—*psuche*—of Christ] of the man Jesus was glorified. This inner self would not die, but still needed returned to Him the glory He had before the world was created (John 17:5). Once a disciple of Christ Jesus is born of spirit, the inner self of the disciple is glorified and only awaits receipt of an imperishable body, albeit in the twinkling of an eye for those disciples that physically live until Christ returns as the Messiah or after a long sleep under the altar for those disciples killed in the 1<sup>st</sup>-Century.

The heaven that existed prior to iniquity being found in an anointed cherub was permanently altered by the Adversary being cast into the Abyss, a future event that has already happened spiritually but is yet to happen inside the creation ... the preceding clause is true, but is difficult to visualize or to prove by the one who attempts to prove what I write—

When a salmon nears its spawning stream, the salmon jumps out of the water, its hard landing back into the water tending to breakup its roe, turning a skein of eggs into singled eggs. For the moment the salmon is out of the water, the salmon is analogous to a human person temporarily escaping the constraints of time. In this moment the person can think spiritually, but the person cannot long stay with a spiritual thought regardless of what the physical person believes about him or herself. And to test what I have just written, think about dwelling under your own vine and tree, not being able to buy an ax or a shovel, a hoe or a knife, but relying upon what you can craft with your own hands. How long can you hold this image of yourself in mind before you realize that you will need to buy this or that before you can clothe yourself or cook a meal, and your thoughts wander back into the realm of transactions? You cannot mentally dwell in peace under your own vine and tree for more than a few moments; for in the world of primitive agriculture, there are flies and hard work—and possession of a kitchen knife gives to the person great satisfaction. Without having forged the knife, the person is reduced to using napped stone to slice a carrot. And napping stone tools is an acquired learning few have in the 21<sup>st</sup>-Century.

For a brief moment, a person truly born of spirit can contemplate dwelling under his or her own vine and tree—then the moment passes and the person's thoughts return to the 21<sup>st</sup>-Century and the purchase of reasonable quality stainless steel knives for a pittance. In contemplating forging a knife from

salvaged iron [the beating of swords into plowshares, and of Chevrolet car springs into knife blanks], the person dwelling in this present age will immediately relate forging the knife blank into 21<sup>st</sup>-Century imagery, not at all considering forging the blank in a chlorine atmosphere to produce a ferric chloride alloy that without the addition of either chrome or nickel becomes a rust-resistant steel blade ... oxidation can be supported in either an oxygen rich atmosphere, or in a chlorine atmosphere. But this is a subject for another time; for apparently antediluvian man was using common salt as a quenching medium either to hold heat or to slow cooling, resulting in the valence electrons of iron molecules to function as claws grasping carbon and chlorine molecules and leaving no opening for an oxide to form. Hence, no rusting. The sodium chloride compound would, when covering the hot iron, be beaten into the surface of the iron in a manner analogous to forge welding iron with grain, using the plasticity of the hot iron to form a compound not again seen until the 20<sup>th</sup>-Century.

Yes, with the development of Western civilization, specialized crafts evolved—that of the blacksmith, the candle maker, the bread maker, the tinsmith, the money lender—with making war becoming a craft that permitted its practitioners to bully neighbors into providing to the warrior the tools, shelter, clothing, and food the warrior required. It was from those whose craft was war that kings were chosen, with the king becoming the ring-giver, the one who awarded favors ... warriors were not smiths, but became dependent upon the skill of their smiths to produce the weaponry with which they intimidated even the smiths that folded cast iron and pure iron over and over again, hammering fold upon fold until the three and a half percent carbon of cast iron had sloughed off as carbon scale enough carbon to become steel, with a good knife blank being about one percent carbon ...

Can you think about dwelling under your own vine and tree and not think about pruning the vine or the tree and what you will need to prune both? Can you think about God on the Sabbath and not think about the Millennium, when all will dwell under their own vine and tree? Can you think about God and not think about the Sabbath, with the Millennium being a type of the Sabbath? If you think about God and don't think about the Commandments, what is it that you think about? *Isn't it wonderful to know the Lord?* But you don't know the Lord if you do not think about dwelling under you own vine and tree, which means thinking about forging tools; about animal husbandry; about biological diversity, soil management, ditching and damming water flows, carpentry. In the Millennium, if you want light at night, you will need to make for yourself an oil lamp or candles and a candle lantern.

Thinking about God on the Sabbath is more than reading a few verses from the Bible, understanding little or nothing of what you read ... consider for a moment, when Mohammad received his first vision, he was commanded to read words he could not read. He was then commanded to recite words for which the angel supplied aspiration and vowel pointing. Mohammad didn't recite words for which he had to supply their vowels. He was given what to say as the Hebrew prophets of old were given what to say. He was not entrusted with either

aspiration of unknown words, or with a second breath of life. Yet what is added to Abram's name when he is ninety-nine years old and Ishmael is thirteen? Aspiration that represents receiving the spirit/breath of God—

Aspiration is added to Sari's name (becoming *Sarah*). Aspiration is added to Abram's name (becoming *Abraham*). But aspiration is not added to Ishmael's name, or to Hagar's name, or to the names of any of Abraham's servants. In type, Ishmael doesn't receive the promise of indwelling eternal life, nor did Mohammad for divine aspiration was not added to his name despite his likely descent from Ishmael.

Everyone knows the preceding. What everyone doesn't know is that Mohammad's visions reveal that Mohammad wasn't entrusted with eternal life ... the difference between a text in a partially alphabetized Semitic language and in a fully alphabetized Indo-European language is in the inclusion of vowels in inscription—is in the inclusion of aspiration or of a second breath in the inscription of words. The inclusion of aspiration (or the signaling of aspiration) in inscription compels the reader or the one who recites to mimic the writer (author), making the same utterance as the writer, with the utterance of the reader becoming a second witness of the writer, not exactly a subject Christians will understand when swimming about in space-time.

When a person is born of spirit, the person receives knowledge directly from God, not from an intermediary, angelic or human. The person doesn't need a Bible to know God, or to know the words of God. For the person truly born of spirit, Bible study is less about reading Scripture and more about understanding God through those things that have been made. This is not to say that a Christian should be a biblical illiterate as too many are. This is to say that studious study of translated and redacted texts misses the point of Bible study.

All of humanity will have its nature changed when dominion over the single kingdom of this world is taken from the Adversary and given to the Son of Man. The world will then be baptized in spirit, receiving from Christ Jesus His nature, His character. And Islam, which has been sealed in unbelief until dominion is taken from the Adversary, will for the first time be empowered by the spirit of God. What wasn't given to the Hebrew prophets of old—and certainly not to Mohammad or Joseph Smith—but given to Christ Jesus and to the first disciples and to Paul and to the endtime Elect will become available to all who endure to the end in faith.

Kings were made through military might, and remade from greater military might. Everyone knows that the strongest rules, that humankind was late coming to the awareness that all people mattered, even the half of humanity that doesn't piss against the wall ... after all, where would Mohammad have been if not for a wealthy widow who proposed marriage to him, many years her junior?

Perhaps the better question is, where would Mohammad have been without trade, without transactions occurring that required transporting goods across the Arabian Peninsula? Where would the nation promised to Ishmael be if Mohammad had not crafted polytheistic tribes that warred among themselves into a community based upon received "revelation," a community that was

carried by trade and traders across the sands to China in the East and to the Iberian Peninsula in the West?

Where was ancient Israel after receiving revelation through the former and the latter prophets? Still in idolatry? Yes, still in idolatry and headed for captivity. And where are the descendants of Ishmael? Still tribes warring among themselves? Yes, the peace Mohammad brought that never really existed has returned to being open warfare.

Mohammad certainly did craft from people who were not previously a nation, a great nation based upon revelations he received. But these revelations came by visions to a person not born of spirit. They were from their onset given to be recited or read, but given in a language that when inscribed was without vocalization—was without *breath*, without life. The revelations Mohammad received were not intended to lead to life in the physically living but spiritually dead person. Apparently, they were intended to do what they have done, seal a great ideological nation in unbelief until the time of the end so that these descendants of Abraham would not perish through the idolatry of greater Christendom and rabbinical Judaism.

A conservative Jew posted to social media a message that was forwarded to me:

When I was a child, the idea appealed to me of a Moshiach (Messiah) who would show up some day and reward us for good behavior. As I got older, that made less and less sense. I have come to believe that when we have improved the world enough that we are ready for Moshiach, we will have created our own Paradise on earth, where all people are fed, clothed, educated, healthy, and living in peace.

The Moshiach, if there is such an actual person, will be whoever is the leader of this initiative at that time. Or maybe there is no personified Moshiach, just a Messianic Age, which we can create by our own efforts. So, we will bring Moshiach, not by earning this like good little children who get a reward for good behavior, but like adults who create a good situation by working for it, and then get to enjoy the benefits of it. To paraphrase the words of the immortal Pogo, “We have met the Messiah, and he is us.”

For this conservative Jew, humanity is its own deity: *where we will have created our own Paradise on earth*. ... The author of the passage received many favorable comments, with one reader even bringing the passage to my attention. But I’m saddened by the sentiment of the passage; for the person is so far from God that this person, no longer middle aged, will die an unbeliever.

As long as the Adversary remains the prince of the power of the air; as long as the Adversary remains the prince of this world (which he remains even though Christ Jesus qualified to receive the kingdom nearly two millennia ago), there will be no peace, no situation of all people being fed, clothed, educated, without disease. Instead, there will be war and rumors of war, famine, and pestilence. Women will remain chattel, enslaved by glass ceilings and a growing sex trade, with soft porn being used to sell hamburgers on primetime television and with hard pornography available to everyone on the Net. Children will disappear; will die horrible deaths; will be used as human shields to protect missile launch sites

in Gaza; will go hungry when food is available. And Americans will continue to poison dandelions in front lawns ...

*Allah* will provide; God will provide ... He has, and He has in a mighty way, but humanity refuses to accept what God has provided; for who is the Christian truly able to dwell under his own vine and tree without engaging in transactions?

Trade apparently brought early Celts into Western China and then east to Japan's Hokkaido Island where they remained as an isolated population as late as the beginning of the 19<sup>th</sup>-Century. It is possible that a few Celts went even farther east, crossing the Pacific in prehistory; so while the motive for Celtic migration east and west cannot be known with certainty, it seems that *the tartan* trade caused an early people to wander to the ends of the earth.

The Book of Hopi tells of a different people journeying to the ends of the earth before settling down in isolated canyons to raise their corn [maize], beans, and squash, living in harmony with the land—and hiding from their neighbors. It was, however, the ancient ones [Anasazi] who were really hiding in the American Southwest from cannibalistic peoples, who apparently were very good at making war on neighbors.

The practice of not killing and eating neighbors apparently didn't emerge in populations that had little or no contact with cultures coming from the Nile or from the Fertile Crescent or from China, comingled cultures that have spawned the modern world.

\*

"Scripture quotations are from The Holy Bible, English Standard Version, copyright © 2001 by Crossway Bibles, a division of Good News Publishers. Used by permission. All rights reserved."

[\[Home\]](#) [\[Current Greeting\]](#) [\[Archived Greetings\]](#)